

Field theory limit of branes and gauged supergravities

Kostas Skenderis

Spinoza Institute, University of Utrecht,
Leuvenlaan 4, 3584 CE Utrecht, The Netherlands
k.skenderis@phys.uu.nl

Abstract: We discuss the field theory limit of Dp -branes. In this limit, the black Dp -brane solution approaches a solution which is conformal to $adS_{p+2} \times S^{8-p}$. We argue that the frame in which the conformal factor is equal to one, the dual frame, is a ‘holographic’ frame. The radial coordinate of adS_{p+2} provides a UV/IR connection as in the case of the $D3$ brane. The gravitational description involves gauged supergravities, typically with non-compact gauged groups. The near-horizon Dp -brane solution becomes a domain-wall solution of the latter.

Holography[1] states that a gravitational system in $d + 1$ -dimensions should have a description in terms of a d -dimensional (boundary) field theory. In addition, the boundary theory should not contain more than one degree of freedom per Planck area. The adS/CFT duality[2, 3, 4] provides an example of such holographic connection[4, 5]. For instance, anti-de Sitter supergravity in five dimensions has a description in terms of (strongly coupled) $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ SYM theory in four dimensions. This duality was inferred by looking at two descriptions of the $D3$ brane: one as a black $D3$ brane and another as a hypersurface where strings can end. Taking the field theory limit, i.e. the limit in which the bulk gravity decouples, one finds that the worldvolume theory is equivalent to strings propagating in the near-horizon limit of the black $D3$ brane which is $adS_5 \times S^5$. When curvatures are small the YM coupling constant is strong and we obtain that anti-de Sitter supergravity is equivalent to strongly coupled SYM theory.

It is natural to consider the same limit for the other branes as well. The difference between the $D3$ brane and the other branes is that the worldvolume theory of the latter is not conformal. Therefore, the dual supergravity cannot be anti-de Sitter supergravity. By holography we expect that when the YM coupling constant becomes large a gravitational description in one dimension higher takes over. Indeed we will see that this is the case[6]: The gravity description is in terms of certain gauged supergravities in $p + 2$ dimensions, typically with non-compact gauge groups, which possess supersymmetric domain-wall vacua. These domain-wall vacua are spacetimes conformal to adS_{p+2} (for $p = 5$ we get $\mathbb{E}^{(1,6)}$ instead).

Let us consider the field theory limit [2, 7] of D-branes. We want to consider a limit in which the bulk gravity decouples and we left with a decoupled worldvolume theory. To decouple closed string loop effects we send $g_s \rightarrow 0$. To suppress higher dimension operators we go to low energies, $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$. This implies that the gravitational coupling

constant, i.e. Newton's constant $G_N \sim \alpha'^4 g_s^2$, is also sent to zero, and therefore gravity decouples (there are subtleties with the decoupling limit in the case of $D6$ branes that we do not discuss here). We want to take the limit in such way that there is still a non-trivial worldvolume theory. Since the worldvolume dynamics are due to open strings, we keep the masses of stretched strings, $U = r/\alpha'$, fixed as we go to low energies. In addition, we keep $g_{YM}^2 N$ fixed, where $g_{YM}^2 \sim g_s \alpha'^{(p-3)/2}$ is the YM coupling constant. We choose to include a factor of N because the open string coupling includes a factor of N (since strings can end in any of the N Dp -branes). In addition, it is $g_{YM}^2 N$ that appears naturally in the gravitational description. To summarize, we consider the limit

$$g_s \rightarrow 0, \quad \alpha' \rightarrow 0, \quad U = \frac{r}{\alpha'} = \text{fixed}, \quad g_{YM}^2 N = \text{fixed} \quad (1)$$

This means that $g_s N \rightarrow 0$ for $p < 3$, $g_s N = \text{fixed}$ for $p=3$ and $g_s N \rightarrow \infty$ for $p > 3$.

The solution that describes a black Dp -brane, in the string frame, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{st}^2 &= H^{-1/2} ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{(p,1)}) + H^{1/2} ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{(9-p)}) \\ e^\phi &= g_s H^{(3-p)/4}, \\ F_{8-p} &= g_s^{-1} \star dH, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\star$ is the Hodge dual of $\mathbb{E}^{(9-p)}$ and $H = 1 + g_s N (\sqrt{\alpha'}/r)^{(7-p)}$.

In the limit (1) the harmonic function tends to

$$H = 1 + \frac{g_{YM}^2 N}{(\alpha')^2 U^{(7-p)}} \rightarrow g_{YM}^2 N (\alpha')^{-2} U^{(p-7)}. \quad (3)$$

By holography we expect that in this limit the geometry would factorize into a $(8-p)$ compact manifold times a solution of $p+2$ -dimensional supergravity. Inserting (3) into (2), however, we find that this is not the case. Moreover, the resulting solution is singular.

A closer look at the solution reveals that it is conformal to $adS_{p+2} \times S^{8-p}$ [8, 6] (except for $p=5$ in which case one gets $\mathbb{E}^{(1,6)} \times S^3$). Therefore, the problems mentioned above are due to improper choice of frame. The frame in which the solution becomes exactly $adS_{p+2} \times S^{8-p}$ is the so-called dual-frame. This is defined [9] as the frame in which the dual field strength F_{8-p} couples to the dilaton the same way as the metric. This means that the dual metric is related to the string metric as

$$g_{dual} = (e^\phi N)^{\frac{2}{p-7}} g_{st}. \quad (4)$$

In this frame the relevant part of the action is

$$S = \frac{N^2}{\alpha'^4} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} (N e^\phi)^\gamma \left[R + \frac{4(p-1)(p-4)}{(7-p)^2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2(8-p)!} \frac{1}{N^2} |F_{8-p}|^2 \right] \quad (5)$$

where $\gamma = 2(p-3)/(7-p)$. Let us define the coordinate (for $p \neq 5$)

$$u^2 = \mathcal{R}^2 (g_{YM}^2 N)^{-1} U^{5-p} \quad [\mathcal{R} = 2/(5-p)]. \quad (6)$$

Then the Dp -brane solution takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{dual}^2 &= \alpha' \left[\frac{u^2}{\mathcal{R}^2} ds^2(\mathbb{E}^{(p,1)}) + \mathcal{R}^2 \frac{du^2}{u^2} + d\Omega_{(8-p)}^2 \right] \\ e^\phi &= \frac{1}{N} (g_{YM}^2 N)^{\frac{(7-p)}{2(5-p)}} \left(\frac{u}{\mathcal{R}} \right)^{\frac{(p-7)(p-3)}{2(p-5)}}, \\ F_{8-p} &= (7-p) N (\alpha')^{\frac{(7-p)}{2}} \text{vol}(S^{8-p}). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The metric is that of $adS_{p+2} \times S^{8-p}$.

The dual frame may be considered as a “holographic frame” since it is in this frame that the black Dp -brane solution immediately leads, in the decoupling limit, to a $p + 2$ gravitational description. Furthermore, as we now show the area of each horosphere (i.e. a hypersurface of constant u) in Planck units is equal to the entropy of the non-extremal Dp -brane at temperature u . Let us compactify the spatial coordinates of a given horosphere u on a p torus of side L . Then the area of a horosphere in Planck units is equal (up to numerical factors) to

$$S \sim \frac{A}{G_N} \sim u^p L^p N^2 (Ne^\phi)^\gamma = N^2 L^p (g_{YM}^2 N)^{(p-3)/(5-p)} u^{(9-p)/(5-p)} \quad (8)$$

This is exactly the entropy of non-extremal Dp -branes at temperature $T = u$ [10]. In other words, the position of the horosphere is the UV cut-off of the worldvolume theory. The fact that the relation $u^2 = U^{5-p}/g_{YM}^2 N$ provides a holographic energy/distance relation for the non-conformal cases was first derived in [11] (generalizing the analysis of [5] for the $D3$ brane). Here we see that the dual frame formulation provides a uniform treatment.

The effective coupling of the field theory is given by the dimensionless combination of the Yang-Mills coupling constant g_{YM}^2 with the energy scale of the problem. Therefore, for the theory ‘living’ on a horosphere it is equal to $g_{eff}^2 = g_{YM}^2 N u^{p-3}$. This can be rewritten in terms of dual-frame variables in a quite suggestive way. From (4) we see that the string length as measured in the dual frame is equal to $\alpha'_D \equiv l_D^2 = (Ne^\phi)^{2/(p-7)} \alpha'$. Let us also define the ‘dual YM-coupling constant’ to be equal to $(g_{YM}^D)^2 = g_s (\alpha'_D)^{(p-3)/2}$. Then,

$$g_{eff}^2 = g_{YM}^2 N u^{p-3} = (g_{YM}^D)^2 N U_D^{p-3} \quad (9)$$

where U_D is the energy U of the D-brane probe in dual-frame units, i.e. $U_D = U(l_D/l_s)$ (notice that $U_D \neq u$).

In the dual frame, strings move in a background of constant curvature of order $1/\alpha'$. However, the string tension is now variable, $T_D \sim 1/\alpha'_D$. Worldsheet perturbation theory is valid when the string tension times the curvature radius (squared) is large. This leads to the condition $g_{YM}^2 N U^{p-3} \gg 1$. For the gauge theory description to be valid we need the effective coupling to be small. This implies $[g_{YM}^2 N U]^{(5-p)} \ll 1$. These conditions are the same as in [11], so their analysis carries over. String perturbation theory is valid when the dilaton is small. This is always true in the large N limit, except near $u = 0$ for $p < 3$ and $p = 6$ or $u = \infty$ for $p = 4$.

Since the solution (7) factorizes one can immediately infer the existence of a lower-dimensional gauged supergravity. The bosonic part of the action can be easily obtained by reducing over the S^{8-p} . The fermionic part can also be obtained in this manner. It is easier, however, to use the symmetries of the configuration in order to identify the lower-dimensional gauged supergravity. Here we briefly summarize the results, referring to [6] for a case-by-case discussion. For $p = 0, 1$ we either find a known supergravity or our analysis implies the existence of certain gauged supergravity (in $d = 2, 3$ there is no classification of gauged supergravities). In the case the brane is related to one of the conformal branes (i.e. $D3, M2, M5$) by dimensional reduction (as for instance for $D2$) one obtains a gauged supergravity of the type studied in [12]. The gauge group is a contraction of the gauge group of the supergravity that corresponds to the conformal brane. The $D6$ brane yields the $d = 8$ gauged supergravity of [13]. In all cases, the near-horizon Dp -brane solution becomes one of the domain-wall solutions studied in [14].

We finish with a brief discussion of the field theory limit of D-instantons[15]. This case is of particular interest because the corresponding 0-dimensional gauge theory has been conjectured to give a non-perturbative definition of type IIB superstrings [16]. We find that in the field theory limit the string frame metric of D-instantons becomes flat, but for finite N the solution preserves only 1/2 of maximal supersymmetry. In the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit with $g_{YM}^2 N$ finite, however, one obtains IIB strings in flat space with vanishing string coupling constant. This is in agreement with [16].

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Harm Jan Boonstra, Hiroshi Ooguri and Paul Townsend for collaboration on the issues reported here. Research supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO).

References

- [1] G. 't Hooft, in Salamfest 1993, p. 284, gr-qc/9310026; L. Susskind, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 6377, hep-th/9409089.
- [2] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200.
- [3] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109.
- [4] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.
- [5] L. Susskind and E. Witten, hep-th/9805114.
- [6] H. J. Boonstra, K. Skenderis and P. K. Townsend, JHEP 9901 (1999) 003, hep-th/9807137.
- [7] N. Itzhaki, J. Maldacena, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 046004, hep-th/9802042.
- [8] H.J. Boonstra, B. Peeters and K. Skenderis, Phys. Lett. **411B** (1997) 59, hep-th/9706192; Nucl. Phys. **B533** (1998) 127-162, hep-th/9803231.
- [9] M.J. Duff, G.W. Gibbons and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B332** (1994) 321, hep-th/9405124.
- [10] I.R. Klebanov and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B475** (1996) 164-178, hep-th/9604089.
- [11] A.W. Peet and J. Polchinski, hep-th/9809022.
- [12] C.M. Hull, Phys. Lett. **142B** (1984) 39; C.M. Hull and N.P. Warner, Class. Quantum Grav. **5** (1988) 1517.
- [13] A. Salam and E. Sezgin, Nucl. Phys. **258B** (1985) 284.
- [14] H. Lü, C.N. Pope and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **391B** (1997) 39, hep-th/9607164.
- [15] H. Ooguri and K. Skenderis, JHEP 9811 (1998) 013, hep-th/9810128.
- [16] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, Nucl. Phys. **B498** (1997) 467, hep-th/9612115; M. Fukuma, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, Nucl. Phys. **B510** (1998) 158; hep-th/9705128.